Hi Dawn,

I wanted to follow up our phone call with an email clarifying the statements I made regarding Part B CILs and the signatories on the SPIL.

1. Any entity receiving Part B funds should be included in the SPIL as a recipient of Part B funds and the purpose for which those funds are to be used by that entity.  Yes
2. Part B funds can be used by an entity to perform IL services within a catchment area of an existing Part C CIL.  Yes they CAN, but it’s not best practice. Regardless, the Part C CIL should agree or there should be some clarity or definition between the services provided in those areas so there isn’t fragmentation or duplication of services. The SPIL should be expanding, strengthening, and coordinating IL services across the state.
3. An entity receiving Part B funds can be designated a CIL for purposes of being identified as part of the statewide network of CILs ~~if they meet 725 requirements~~ in the rehab act. The basic definition of being a CIL under the ILS program is that the CIL be consumer-controlled, community-based, cross-disability, nonresidential, private nonprofit agencies that are designed and operated within a local community by individuals with disabilities and that provide an array of independent living services. The definition is located in the SPIL instructions. To become a Part C CIL they must meet 725 requirements though, as Kimball describes. This determination would be made by the DSE as to whether they meet the requirements. If they do meet the 725 requirements, ~~and~~ they are required to have a vote on the SPIL. However, a Part B CIL can also vote if it meets the above definition of a CIL. Please see the SPIL instructions for further clarification around the signatories.
4. If a Part B CIL is meeting 725 requirements and is designated as a CIL you are not have any impact on Part C funding amounts to the existing Part C CILs. However, any future Part C funding that is designated in the SPIL to establish a new CIL and they would be eligible to apply for those Part C funds, as would either of the other two Part C CILs in Nevada. Yes

I hope this helps with the questions raised in Nevada regarding Part B CILs.